

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

## Photosynthesis parameters in two cultivars of mulberry differing in salt tolerance

S. GIRIDARA KUMAR, A. LAKSHMI, K.V. MADHUSUDHAN,  
S. RAMANJULU\*\*, and C. SUDHAKAR\*,†

*Department of Botany, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur 515003, India*†

### Abstract

Three-month-old mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) cultivars (salt tolerant cv. S1 and salt sensitive cv. ATP) were subjected to different concentrations of NaCl for 12 d. Leaf area, dry mass accumulation, total chlorophyll (Chl) content, net  $\text{CO}_2$  assimilation rate ( $P_N$ ), stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ), and transpiration rate ( $E$ ) declined, and intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration ( $C_i$ ) increased. The changes in these parameters were dependent on stress severity and duration, and differed between the two cultivars. The tolerant cultivar showed a lesser reduction in  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  coupled with a better  $C_i$  and water use efficiency (WUE) than the sensitive cultivar.

*Additional key words:* chlorophylls; intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration; leaf area; leaf dry mass; *Morus alba*; net photosynthetic rate; salt stress; stomatal conductance; transpiration; water use efficiency.

---

Salinity mainly occurs in arid and semiarid conditions where the precipitation is not enough to leach the excess soluble salts from the root zone. It is one of the main environmental constraints which limits photosynthesis and consequently productivity in crop plants. Better understanding of the mechanism that enables plants to adapt to water deficit or salinity stress and maintain growth will ultimately help in the selection of stress tolerant cultivars for exploiting saline soils. In the present study an

---

*Received 17 June 1999, accepted 30 August 1999.*

\*Corresponding author; fax: ++91 8554 55244; e-mail: skul@hyd.ap.nic.in

Abbreviations:  $C_i$  - intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration;  $E$  - transpiration;  $g_s$  - stomatal conductance;  $P_N$  - net photosynthetic rate; WUE - water use efficiency.

\*Present address: School of Biological Sciences, The University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QG, UK.

\*\*Department of Plant Science, Weismann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.

Acknowledgements: This study was supported by a research grant (F3-9/93 SR-II) to C. Sudhakar by the University Grants Commission, India which is gratefully acknowledged. K.V.M. is grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India for the JRF award. Authors are thankful to the authorities of RSRS, Anantapur for providing plant material.

attempt is made to assess the tolerance potentials in two mulberry cultivars with different sensitivity to salt stress based on  $P_N$  and associated parameters.

Mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) cultivars S1 and ATP were procured from the Regional Sericultural Research Station (CSB), Anantapur, India. The cuttings of approximately equal length and diameter, with 3 to 4 active buds were prepared and immediately planted in earthen pots containing 8 kg of red loamy soil and farm yard manure (3 : 1 ratio). The pots were watered daily and were kept in the Botanical Garden under natural photoperiod of 12-13 h and temperature of 32±4 °C. Three-month-old plants were subjected to salt stress induced by a range of NaCl concentrations [0 (control), 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 %]. The electrical conductivity (EC) of soil saturation extract was 1.7, 2.0, 4.0, and 6.9 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Care was taken to avoid drainage of solution during the treatment by giving water slightly less than field capacity. EC of soil extract was monitored and adjusted on alternate days.

The measurements were taken in the 3<sup>rd</sup> leaf from the plant top, since it had the maximum  $P_N$ . The leaf area was measured by a leaf area meter. For determination of dry mass the leaves were dried at 80 °C in a hot air oven until a constant mass was formed. The Chl content was estimated spectrophotometrically as described by Arnon (1949), using 80 % acetone extracts.  $P_N$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $C_i$  and  $E$  were recorded between 08:00 and 10:00 h on the 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> d after stress induction, by using a portable photosynthetic system, *LCA-3* (ADC, England) with the aid of a *Parkinson* leaf chamber (6.2 cm<sup>2</sup>) under the irradiance of 1100±100 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and at temperature of 32±2 °C, an ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 335-340 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, and RH 70 %.

Under salt stress a decrease in the dry mass accumulation which could be attributed to both the reduced leaf area,  $P_N$ , and Chl content was observed (Table 2). A decline in  $P_N$  under salt stress was observed in both the genotypes (Table 1). This effect was gradual and remarkable at higher NaCl concentrations combined with the increase in stress duration. The  $P_N$  decreased less in the cultivar S1 than in ATP; it was reduced by 35 and 14 % on the 12<sup>th</sup> d of exposure to 1.5 % NaCl concentration in S1 and ATP, respectively.

High salinity drastically decreased  $g_s$  with the increasing stress duration in both cultivars. Further, even low concentration of NaCl (0.5 %) significantly inhibited  $g_s$  in both cultivars. However, the degree of inhibition of  $g_s$  was higher in ATP than in S1.

The  $C_i$  values were almost unaltered under low concentrations of NaCl in both the cultivars. However, they were significantly increased under severe salt stress in both the cultivars. Nevertheless, the increase was greater in ATP compared to S1.

Transpiration rate ( $E$ ) showed a similar pattern as  $P_N$  under 0.5 % NaCl in S1, however,  $P_N$  decreased more than  $E$  in ATP. The decrease in  $E$  with increasing external NaCl concentration was similar in both cultivars. Water use efficiency (WUE) determined as  $P_N/E$  increased under NaCl treatment in both cultivars, but better WUE values were found in the cultivar S1.

Dry mass accumulation was decreased in salt stressed plants of both genotypes compared to controls. These results agree with earlier reports (Heuer and Nadler 1998). The magnitude of decline in leaf dry mass accumulation depended on severity and duration of stress and inhibition in dry mass yield was relatively less in S1 than

Table 1. Net photosynthetic rate,  $P_N$  [ $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ], stomatal conductance,  $g_s$  [ $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ], intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration,  $C_i$  [ $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ], transpiration rate,  $E$  [ $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ], and water use efficiency, WUE [ $\text{mmol mol}^{-1}$ ] in control and  $\text{NaCl}$  stressed (0.5, 1.0, 1.5 %) cultivar S1 and ATP of mulberry. The mean values represented by the same letter in a row for each cultivar are not significantly different at  $p<0.05$  according to Duncan's multiple range test. Figures in parentheses represent per cent of control.

Treatment/ days	cv. S1			cv. ATP			0.5 %	1.0 %	1.5 %
	control	0.5 %	1.0 %	control	0.5 %	1.0 %			
$P_N$									
4	17.4 c (100)	15.4 b (82)	13.1 ab (75)	10.9 a (63)	14.6 c (100)	11.5 b (79)	7.2 a (49)	6.0 a (41)	
8	17.5 d (100)	14.3 c (82)	12.2 b (70)	9.8 a (59)	14.8 d (100)	8.2 c (55)	5.1 b (35)	3.1 a (21)	
12	17.8 d (100)	12.8 c (72)	11.0 b (62)	6.2 a (35)	14.9 d (100)	5.2 c (35)	2.9 b (20)	2.1 a (14)	
$g_s$									
4	1.98 d (100)	1.05 c (53)	0.82 b (41)	0.65 a (33)	1.09 d (100)	0.77 c (71)	0.43 b (39)	0.32 a (29)	
8	2.0 d (100)	1.23 c (62)	0.79 b (40)	0.59 a (30)	1.16 d (100)	0.72 c (63)	0.34 b (29)	0.21 a (18)	
12	2.4 d (100)	1.28 c (53)	0.7 b (35)	0.52 a (22)	1.19 d (100)	0.65 c (55)	0.28 b (24)	0.12 a (10)	
$C_i$									
4	255 a (100)	249 a (93)	248 a (93)	258 a (101)	230 a (100)	242 a (105)	245 a (106)	258 b (112)	
8	252 a (100)	258 a (102)	266 a (109)	286 b (113)	238 a (100)	255 b (107)	263 b (110)	275 b (115)	
12	225 a (100)	259 a (115)	260 a (115)	291 b (129)	235 a (100)	263 b (112)	270 b (114)	290 c (123)	
$E$									
4	5.3 d (100)	4.7 c (89)	4.0 b (75)	3.3 a (62)	4.3 d (100)	3.5 c (81)	2.2 b (51)	1.8 a (42)	
8	5.3 d (100)	4.4 c (83)	3.8 b (72)	2.9 a (55)	4.4 d (100)	2.6 c (59)	1.7 b (39)	1.3 a (30)	
12	5.4 d (100)	3.9 c (72)	3.4 b (63)	1.9 a (35)	4.3 c (100)	1.8 b (42)	1.2 a (29)	1.1 a (25)	
WUE									
4	3.28	3.27	3.27	3.30	3.39	3.28	3.28	3.30	
8	3.30	3.25	3.21	3.37	3.36	3.15	3.00	2.38	
12	3.29	3.28	3.28	3.26	3.46	2.88	2.41	1.90	

in ATP (Table 2). The dry mass decrease as a result of stress is attributed to the altered carbon and nitrogen metabolism, which are responsible for total biomass production (Kluge 1976). Decrease in dry mass accumulation of leaves also attributed to decreased rates of reduced leaf area, photosynthesis, and Chl content (Ramanjulu *et al.* 1994, 1998) which is in agreement with our results.

The leaf Chl content declined according to severity and duration of the stress (Table 1). Decrease in Chl content was attributed to suppression of specific enzyme

Table 2. Leaf area [cm<sup>2</sup>], leaf dry mass accumulation [g per plant], chlorophyll (Chl) content [g kg<sup>-1</sup>(d.m.)] in control and stressed cultivars S1 and ATP of mulberry. For further explanations see Table 1.

Day	cv. S1	cv. S1			cv. ATP					
		control	0.5 %	1.0 %	1.5 %	control	0.5 %	1.0 %	1.5 %	
Leaf area	4	108b (100)	103a (95)	104a (96)	104a (96)	69a (100)	66b (96)	70a (101)	65a (94)	
	8	114b (100)	104a (91)	106a (93)	105a (92)	72b (100)	68b (94)	70.5b (98)	65a (90)	
	12	117b (100)	105a (90)	108a (92)	104a (89)	79b (100)	71ab (90)	70a (90)	65a (82)	
Dry mass	4	3.11b (100)	3.03b (97)	2.84ab (91)	2.60a (84)	3.56c (100)	3.40c (96)	3.01b (85)	2.50a (70)	
	8	3.84c (100)	3.71c (97)	3.12b (81)	2.32a (60)	4.48c (100)	4.06c (91)	3.21b (72)	2.14a (48)	
	12	4.55c (100)	4.46c (98)	3.49b (77)	2.82a (62)	5.31d (100)	4.36c (82)	3.01b (57)	2.29a (43)	
Chl	4	1.51b (100)	1.34a (89)	1.26a (83)	1.20a (79)	1.41c (100)	1.20b (84)	1.04a (73)	0.96a (68)	
	8	1.56c (100)	1.23b (78)	1.10b (70)	1.04a (66)	1.42c (100)	1.08b (76)	0.98b (69)	0.77a (54)	
	12	1.57c (100)	1.09b (69)	0.96b (61)	0.80a (51)	1.42d (100)	0.91c (64)	0.80b (56)	0.57a (40)	

responsible for synthesis of Chl and to disarrangement of pigment-protein complexes, and disruption of fine structure of chloroplasts was reported (Levitt 1980). The reduction in Chl content was also related to the enhanced activity of chlorophyllase or decreased synthesis (Rao and Rao 1981, Drążkiewicz 1994).

The decline in  $P_N$  under NaCl stress is partly attributed to a reduced  $g_s$  (Nagy and Galiba 1995, Lakshmi *et al.* 1996), partly to a decline in Chl content (Kolchevskii *et al.* 1995). Simultaneously, the reduction in  $P_N$  is associated with inhibition of non-stomatal components by salt stress (Plaut *et al.* 1990, Everard *et al.* 1994, Sreenivasulu Reddy *et al.* 1998). However, the extent to which stomatal closure affects photosynthetic capacity is indicated by the magnitude of reduction in  $C_i$  (Berry and Downton 1982). A decrease in  $g_s$  for water vapour is an almost universal response to increasing salinity (Brugnoli and Lauteri 1991) such as are decreases in  $P_N$  and  $E$  (Sharma and Hall 1989). High NaCl concentration resulted in increased  $C_i$  in both the cultivars; this may indicate a decreased carboxylation capacity. The salt stress affects the capacity for fixing CO<sub>2</sub>, since it inhibits the activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in the C<sub>3</sub> plants and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase and NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase in the C<sub>4</sub> plants (Stiborová *et al.* 1987). However, the degree of decline in  $C_i$  was relatively less in cultivar S1 under NaCl stress conditions, reflecting a better maintenance of carboxylation. In the present study,  $P_N$  at low concentration of NaCl was probably mediated through

stomatal closure while both the biochemical processes and stomatal components were affected under more severe stress (Table 1).

NaCl salinity decreases  $E$  in both the cultivars when compared with controls. This was positively correlated with WUE as a consequence of salinity (Plaut *et al.* 1990, Everard *et al.* 1994). Reduced carbon assimilation under salinity was associated with an increase in WUE, which was due to a drastic decrease in water loss rates than in carbon gain.

The present study indicates that all the investigated parameters were affected during salt stress. The reduction in leaf biomass was due to reduced leaf extension as well as in decreased  $P_N$  including a decline in the Chl content. The salt tolerance of S1 could be ascertained from the present study, based on relatively lesser decrease in  $P_N$  and  $g_s$  coupled with better  $C_i$  and WUE.

## References

Arnon, D.I.: Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. - Plant Physiol. **24**: 1-15, 1949.

Berry, J.A., Downton, W.J.S.: Environmental regulation of photosynthesis. - In: Govindjee (ed.): Photosynthesis. Vol. II. Pp. 263-343. Academic Press, New York - London - Paris - San Diego - San Francisco - São Paulo - Sydney - Tokyo - Toronto 1982.

Brugnoli, E., Lauteri, M.: Effects of salinity on stomatal conductance, photosynthetic capacity, and carbon isotope discrimination of salt-tolerant (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and salt-sensitive (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.)  $C_3$  non-halophytes. - Plant Physiol. **95**: 628-635, 1991.

Drążkiewicz, M.: Chlorophyllase: occurrence, functions, mechanism of action, effects of external and internal factors. - Photosynthetica **30**: 321-331, 1994.

Everard, J.D., Gucci, R., Kann, S.C., Flore, J.A., Loescher, W.H.: Gas exchange and carbon partitioning in the leaves of celery (*Apium graveolens* L.) at various levels of root zone salinity. - Plant Physiol. **106**: 281-292, 1994.

Heuer, B., Nadler, A.: Physiological response of potato plants to soil salinity and water deficit. - Plant Sci. **137**: 43-51, 1998.

Kluge, M.: Carbon and nitrogen metabolism under water stress. - In: Lange, O.L., Kappen, L., Schulze, E.D. (ed.): Water and Plant Life. Pp. 243-252. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1976.

Kolchevskii, K.G., Kocharyan, N.I., Koroleva, O.Ya.: Effect of salinity on photosynthetic characteristics and ion accumulation in  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  plants of Ararat plain. - Photosynthetica **31**: 277-282, 1995.

Lakshmi, A., Ramanjulu, S., Veeranjaneyulu, K., Sudhakar, C.: Effect of NaCl on photosynthesis parameters in two cultivars of mulberry. - Photosynthetica **32**: 285-289, 1996.

Levitt, J.: Responses of Plants to Environmental Stresses. Vol. II. - Pp. 489-524. Academic Press, New York 1980.

Nagy, Z., Galiba, G.: Drought and salt tolerance are not necessarily linked: a study on wheat varieties differing in drought tolerance under consecutive water and salinity stresses. - J. Plant Physiol. **145**: 168-174, 1995.

Plaut, Z., Grieve, C.M., Maas, E.V.: Salinity effects on  $CO_2$  assimilation and diffusive conductance of cowpea leaves. - Physiol. Plant. **79**: 31-38, 1990.

Ramanjulu, S., Sreenivasulu, N., Giridhara Kumar, S., Sudhakar, C.: Photosynthetic characteristics in mulberry during water stress and rewetting. - Photosynthetica **35**: 259-263, 1998.

Ramanjulu, S., Veeranjaneyulu, K., Sudhakar, C.: Relative tolerance of certain mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) varieties to NaCl salinity. - Sericologia **34**: 695-702, 1994.

Rao, G.G., Rao, G.R.: Pigment composition and chlorophyllase activity in pigeon pea (*Cajanus indicus* Spreng) and gingelly (*Sesamum indicum* L.) under NaCl salinity. - Indian J. exp. Biol. **19**: 768-770, 1981.

Sharma, P.K., Hall, D.O.: The effect of salinity, photoinhibition and interaction of both on photosynthesis in barley. - In: Barber, J., Malkin, R. (ed.): Techniques and New Developments in Photosynthesis Research. Pp. 571-578. Plenum Press, New York - London 1989.

Sreenivasulu Reddy, P., Ramanjulu, S., Sudhakar, C., Veeranjaneyulu, K.: Differential sensitivity of stomatal and non-stomatal components to NaCl or Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> salinity in horsegram, *Macrotyloma uniflorum* (Lam.). - Photosynthetica **35**: 99-105, 1998.

Stiborová, M., Kšínská, S., Březinová, A.: Effect of NaCl on the growth and biochemical characteristics of photosynthesis of barley and maize. - Photosynthetica **21**: 320-328, 1987.