

## Gas exchange of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* grown grapevine plants

T. SLAVTCHEVA\* and V. DIMITROVA

*Institute of Viticulture and Enology, Kala tepe 1, 5800 Pleven, Bulgaria*

### Abstract

Net photosynthetic rate ( $P_N$ ) and dark respiration rate ( $R_D$ ) were measured in *Vitis vinifera* L. cvs. Dimiat 4/24 (23<sup>rd</sup> subculture), Dimiat 4/38 (22<sup>nd</sup> subculture), and Italian Riesling 3/47 (22<sup>nd</sup> subculture) on days 3, 2, and 1 (1<sup>st</sup> series) before transfer from the *in vitro* culture and on days 14, 15, 16 (2<sup>nd</sup> series) and 28, 29, 30 (3<sup>rd</sup> series) after the transfer.  $P_N$  of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* plants was strongly affected by irradiance.  $P_N$  and  $R_D$  of *in vitro* plantlets were lower and transpiration rate ( $E$ ) was higher compared to those of *ex vitro* plantlets.  $P_N$ ,  $R_D$ , and  $E$  changed in the course of acclimation.

**Additional key words:** acclimation; dark respiration rate; fresh mass; leaf area; net photosynthetic rate; shoot and root lengths; shoot node number; transpiration rate; *Vitis vinifera* L.

### Introduction

Clonal propagation of herbaceous and woody plants has become a well-established practice. Micro-propagation offers rapid multiplication of virus-free plants continuously during the year. To improve this technology, the effects of limiting factors during *in vitro* cultivation of the plantlets must be minimised. The nutrient medium improvement was investigated with *in vitro* grapevine plants (Galzy *et al.* 1990, Dimitrova 1998). Plantlets are generally supplied by saccharides (mainly sucrose) as sources of carbon and energy (Genoud *et al.* 1999). Nevertheless, *in vitro* plantlets develop photosynthetic apparatus enabling photoautotrophy under suitable environmental conditions. The limiting factors for *in vitro* plant photosynthesis are mainly low irradiance and low  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in culture vessels (Lee *et al.* 1985, Pospíšilová *et al.* 1997). Their increase led to an improved photosynthesis (Schoch *et al.* 1989, Solárová *et al.* 1989). Corresponding investigations with grapevine

plants are rare (Falque *et al.* 1991, Lima da Silva *et al.* 1996).

A further complication to commercial production has been poor acclimation (Gribaudo and Fronda 1993). Slowly growing plants are extremely sensitive to environmental conditions, especially to water stress (Lakso *et al.* 1986, Lewandowski 1991). The study of environmental effects on photosynthesis, respiration, and transpiration during acclimation help to improve plant survival and growth (Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997, 1999). Finally, appropriate methods and precise measuring techniques are needed for a study of gas exchange of *in vitro* or *ex vitro* plants (Falque *et al.* 1991, Lima da Silva *et al.* 1996, Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997).

In this paper, a comparison between gas exchanges of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* grapevine plants is demonstrated. Special emphasis is given to the effects of irradiance on  $P_N$  of both groups of plants.

### Materials and methods

**Plants:** *Vitis vinifera* L. cvs. Dimiat 4/24 (23<sup>rd</sup> subculture) Dimiat 4/38 (22<sup>nd</sup> subculture), and Italian Riesling 3/47 (22<sup>nd</sup> subculture) were grown in vessels (fruit-jars) as one node micro-cuttings (single leaves attached) on MS medium with half-strength macro-salts, full-strength micro-salts, and 1 g  $\text{m}^{-3}$  indole-3-acetic acid (Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997, Dimitrova 1998). The *ex vitro* plants were cultured at temperature of 26-28 °C, irradiance of

60-100  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , and a photoperiod of 16 h. Following *in vitro* culture for one month, plantlets were transferred to non-sterile conditions for adaptation before their transplanting into greenhouse or field. Roots of plantlets were cut to 1-cm length. As substrate, perlite was placed in small (55×35×65 mm) plastic vessels. Plantlet acclimation occurred at irradiance of 100  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , temperature of 24-26 °C, and air humidity of 90-

Received 27 July 2000, accepted 20 November 2000.

\*Author for correspondence: Morava 26, BG-5800, Pleven, Bulgaria.

70 %. Main plant growth characteristics were determined during *in vitro* cultivation and acclimation.

**Gas exchange measurements:** Three series of measurements of  $P_N$  and  $R_D$  were carried out: (a) on days 3, 2, 1 (1<sup>st</sup> series) before transfer from *in vitro* culture; and (b) on days 14, 15, 16 (2<sup>nd</sup> series) and 28, 29, 30 (3<sup>rd</sup> series) after transfer from *in vitro* culture. Two vessels per variant, *i.e.*, 10 plantlets, were placed in exsiccators connected to an open measuring circuit. Large blower prevented from CO<sub>2</sub>-reduction in the air within the exsiccators. Air flow rate through the circuit was 22.2-27.8 cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.  $P_N$  and  $R_D$  were determined by means of infrared gas analyser *URAS-2* (Frankfurt/Main, Germany) connected to a 12-point-recorder *Polycomp* (Frankfurt/Main, Germany). During measurements, air temperature and relative humidity in the laboratory were regulated with an air

conditioner *KT-2* (Schkeuditz, Germany). The ambient temperature was 21-23 °C, air humidity 80-85 %, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 800 mg m<sup>-3</sup>. High-pressure mercury vapour lamps *LRF-400 W* (Warsaw, Poland) gave irradiances of 20, 100, 200, and 400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ .

$E$  was determined gravimetrically on day 1 before transfer from *in vitro* culture and on days 17 and 31 after the transfer. Fresh mass of whole plantlets was determined on an analytical balance *Sartorius* (Göttingen, Germany).  $E$  was determined at low irradiance of 20  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , 21 °C, and 70 % air humidity for 6 min.

**Leaf area** was determined gravimetrically by means of leaf copies.

**Statistical analysis:** Analysis of variance and regression was made following Barov and Naidenova (1969).

## Results and discussion

### Plant growth characteristics:

**In vitro plants:** The plantlets developed well under the experimental conditions (Table 1). Considerable cultivar-specific differences were found in root growth. In this trial, the longest root (173.7 mm) was found in Italian Riesling. However, shoot lengths and node numbers were smaller in this cultivar.

**Ex vitro plants:** Plantlets developed rapidly (within 31 d) a good root system (254-274 mm). More considerable differences (Table 1) in plant growth characteristics occurred during third and fourth weeks of adaptation period compared to the first and second weeks. The findings corresponded to the results of Pospíšilová *et al.* (1999) with tobacco plantlets.

Table 1. Main growth characteristics of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* plants. \* Roots of plantlets were cut to 1 cm. Means of 9-11 replications ± standart error of mean.

	Variant	Shoot length [mm]	node number	Total root length [mm]	Leaf area [cm <sup>2</sup> plant <sup>-1</sup> ]	Fresh mass [g plant <sup>-1</sup> ]
<i>In vitro</i> plants	Dimiat 4/38	54.2 ± 4.8	4.4 ± 0.2	112.4 ± 13.8	12.3 ± 0.2	0.280 ± 0.030
	Dimiat 4/24	57.3 ± 3.0	4.4 ± 0.2	122.9 ± 12.4	17.0 ± 0.9	0.342 ± 0.032
	Italian Riesling 3/47	38.8 ± 3.5	3.0 ± 0.2	173.7 ± 19.1	12.9 ± 0.3	0.389 ± 0.041
<i>Ex vitro</i> plants, 17 d	Dimiat 4/38	64.7 ± 4.5	5.7 ± 0.3	96.5* ± 9.1	19.0 ± 0.4	0.540 ± 0.047
	Dimiat 4/24	62.6 ± 4.5	5.7 ± 0.3	120.4 ± 9.7	21.6 ± 0.2	0.581 ± 0.016
	Italian Riesling 3/47	65.3 ± 3.8	6.0 ± 0.3	123.3 ± 12.1	15.5 ± 0.2	0.438 ± 0.039
<i>Ex vitro</i> plants, 31 d	Dimiat 4/38	80.2 ± 3.7	6.3 ± 0.3	253.7 ± 24.1	24.4 ± 0.6	1.152 ± 0.078
	Dimiat 4/24	83.8 ± 3.9	6.1 ± 0.2	245.1 ± 29.3	30.8 ± 2.3	1.445 ± 0.011
	Italian Riesling 3/47	70.3 ± 1.7	6.7 ± 0.3	274.3 ± 23.8	29.6 ± 1.9	1.278 ± 0.031

### Photosynthetic rate:

**In vitro plants:**  $P_N$  increased with increasing irradiance (Table 2), larger changes being found from 20 to 200  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (by 83-90 %) than from 200 to 400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . However, saturation irradiance was not reached with any cultivar at even at the highest irradiance used. The effect of irradiance on  $P_N$  was significant ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 279.80 > F_{0.001} = 7.55$ ).  $P_N$  increased from the first to the third

measuring day before transfer. The differences, although small, were significant ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 26.76 > F_{0.001} = 9.61$ ). Further, there were clones in the trial significantly different ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 8.21 > F_{0.01} = 5.85$ ) among themselves. The plantlets of Italian Riesling 3/47 and Dimiat 4/24 had higher  $P_N$  compared to Dimiat 4/38 ( $F_{\text{exp}} > F_{0.001}$  or  $F_{0.05}$ , respectively).

Table 2. Rates of dark respiration ( $R_D$ ) and net photosynthesis ( $P_N$ ) [ $\mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ] of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* grapevine plants measured on days 3, 2, and 1 before transfer (marked by *minus* sign), and on days 14, 15, 16, 28, 29, and 30 of acclimation, respectively.  $P_N$  was determined at irradiances of 20-400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Means  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 10$ .

Cultivar	Day	$R_D$	$P_N$	20	100	200	400
Dimiat 4/38	-3	-9.4 $\pm$ 1.9	11.1 $\pm$ 3.1	33.3 $\pm$ 3.3	62.2 $\pm$ 3.3	64.4 $\pm$ 2.5	
	-2	-9.2 $\pm$ 1.9	10.8 $\pm$ 3.1	48.9 $\pm$ 1.7	60.8 $\pm$ 3.9	73.6 $\pm$ 3.9	
	-1	-12.5 $\pm$ 1.9	15.6 $\pm$ 3.3	57.2 $\pm$ 3.1	70.0 $\pm$ 3.6	77.8 $\pm$ 4.7	
	14	-20.6 $\pm$ 2.0	-2.5 $\pm$ 3.9	85.0 $\pm$ 3.9	112.2 $\pm$ 5.6	118.1 $\pm$ 4.2	
	15	-30.0 $\pm$ 0.8	10.3 $\pm$ 2.8	85.6 $\pm$ 4.4	106.4 $\pm$ 3.3	114.4 $\pm$ 6.7	
	16	-26.4 $\pm$ 0.8	2.2 $\pm$ 2.8	83.6 $\pm$ 3.3	110.3 $\pm$ 5.3	115.8 $\pm$ 6.1	
	28	-36.1 $\pm$ 2.2	-5.6 $\pm$ 4.7	84.7 $\pm$ 1.7	105.3 $\pm$ 3.6	129.4 $\pm$ 6.9	
	29	-45.6 $\pm$ 3.3	-7.8 $\pm$ 2.8	92.2 $\pm$ 1.9	108.3 $\pm$ 2.5	143.9 $\pm$ 7.8	
	30	-40.6 $\pm$ 2.8	+3.1 $\pm$ 4.2	111.4 $\pm$ 2.8	123.6 $\pm$ 2.5	167.2 $\pm$ 8.3	
Dimiat 4/24	-3	-8.3 $\pm$ 1.1	16.1 $\pm$ 3.3	48.1 $\pm$ 3.9	60.3 $\pm$ 3.6	70.0 $\pm$ 2.8	
	-2	-8.9 $\pm$ 1.9	11.1 $\pm$ 3.6	54.4 $\pm$ 2.8	65.6 $\pm$ 2.8	73.9 $\pm$ 4.6	
	-1	-8.3 $\pm$ 1.9	21.7 $\pm$ 3.6	63.1 $\pm$ 3.3	73.6 $\pm$ 4.0	81.1 $\pm$ 2.5	
	14	-17.8 $\pm$ 1.9	-6.4 $\pm$ 3.6	70.3 $\pm$ 1.7	99.2 $\pm$ 3.3	110.0 $\pm$ 2.8	
	15	-25.6 $\pm$ 0.8	13.1 $\pm$ 2.5	74.7 $\pm$ 2.2	93.1 $\pm$ 2.2	101.7 $\pm$ 4.7	
	16	-23.6 $\pm$ 0.8	9.7 $\pm$ 2.5	71.1 $\pm$ 1.7	102.2 $\pm$ 3.3	109.2 $\pm$ 2.5	
	28	-37.8 $\pm$ 1.4	1.4 $\pm$ 1.1	75.0 $\pm$ 1.7	93.9 $\pm$ 3.6	116.7 $\pm$ 6.1	
	29	-41.9 $\pm$ 2.5	-5.8 $\pm$ 3.3	83.1 $\pm$ 2.2	94.4 $\pm$ 2.5	128.3 $\pm$ 6.1	
	30	-37.2 $\pm$ 1.4	0.3 $\pm$ 3.6	98.1 $\pm$ 2.8	105.8 $\pm$ 3.9	148.1 $\pm$ 5.0	
Riesling Italian 3/47	-3	-13.9 $\pm$ 1.1	10.3 $\pm$ 3.3	40.6 $\pm$ 2.2	66.9 $\pm$ 3.3	80.6 $\pm$ 4.2	
	-2	-14.2 $\pm$ 1.7	11.7 $\pm$ 3.6	55.3 $\pm$ 1.9	74.2 $\pm$ 3.1	84.4 $\pm$ 4.7	
	-1	-15.6 $\pm$ 1.1	14.2 $\pm$ 3.1	63.6 $\pm$ 3.5	73.3 $\pm$ 3.3	94.2 $\pm$ 4.2	
	14	-16.1 $\pm$ 1.1	-1.4 $\pm$ 3.9	86.4 $\pm$ 4.7	126.4 $\pm$ 6.1	146.7 $\pm$ 8.1	
	15	-37.2 $\pm$ 0.8	15.8 $\pm$ 2.5	99.4 $\pm$ 4.7	118.6 $\pm$ 7.2	133.1 $\pm$ 8.6	
	16	-32.8 $\pm$ 1.7	18.1 $\pm$ 3.3	97.5 $\pm$ 5.0	122.5 $\pm$ 5.6	137.8 $\pm$ 7.2	
	28	-33.3 $\pm$ 2.8	-0.6 $\pm$ 2.2	96.4 $\pm$ 1.7	113.3 $\pm$ 5.0	154.7 $\pm$ 6.7	
	29	-44.4 $\pm$ 2.5	-0.8 $\pm$ 5.0	125.5 $\pm$ 3.1	126.9 $\pm$ 3.6	172.8 $\pm$ 6.7	
	30	-41.9 $\pm$ 3.3	9.2 $\pm$ 4.4	125.0 $\pm$ 2.5	148.1 $\pm$ 4.2	199.4 $\pm$ 8.1	

*Ex vitro* plants:  $P_N$  was more strongly affected by irradiance (Table 2). The values were in the range of those found with small pot-plants cultured *in vivo* (Stoev and Slavtcheva 1982). The differences were significant: ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 394.58 > F_{0.001}$  in the 2<sup>nd</sup> series;  $F_{\text{exp}} = 411.59 > F_{0.001}$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> series).  $P_N$  changed from the first to the third measuring day not significantly in the 2<sup>nd</sup> series ( $F_{\text{exp}} < F_{0.05}$ ), but the differences were significant ( $F_{\text{exp}} > F_{0.001}$ ) in the 3<sup>rd</sup> series, probably due to their already better acclimation in this time. Also cultivar-specific differences were found ( $F_{\text{exp}} > F_{0.001}$ ). The lowest values of  $P_N$  were established with Dimiat 4/24, the highest ones with Italian Riesling 3/47. The changes in the course of time during acclimation were not significant at low irradiance (20-100  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), but significant at irradiance of 400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

The changes in  $P_N$  at different irradiance with time were described by linear regressions:

at 20  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $y = 15.3 - 0.6 x$ ,  $t_{\text{exp}} = 1.331 < t_{0.05}$ ;

at 100  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $y = 66.1 + 1.1 x$ ,  $t_{\text{exp}} = 2.163 < t_{0.05}$ ;  
 at 200  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $y = 105.6 + 0.3 x$ ,  $t_{\text{exp}} = 0.597 < t_{0.05}$ ;  
 at 400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $y = 87.5 + 2.2 x$ ,  $t_{\text{exp}} = 2.945 > t_{0.05}$ ,  
 where  $y$  was  $P_N$  [ $\mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ] and  $x$  the time in days [d] after transfer from *in vitro* culture.

Finally,  $P_N$  of *ex vitro* plants at 100-400  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was higher than that of the *in vitro* ones: 1.3-1.8 times in the 2<sup>nd</sup> series, and 1.5-2.1 times in the 3<sup>rd</sup> series. We found that the photosynthetic apparatus of *in vitro* grown grapevine plants was functional and there was positive  $\text{CO}_2$  balance. The plantlets were capable for simultaneous saccharide uptake from the culture medium and  $\text{CO}_2$  assimilation.  $P_N$  might vary according to cultivar and especially to environment. The acclimation procedure used in our laboratory was suitable and the plantlets survived satisfactorily this critical step.  $P_N$  was high enough to support plant growth after transfer from *in vitro* culture.

**Dark respiration rate:**

**In vitro** plants: The mean values found with *in vitro* plantlets (Table 2) were from  $-14.6$  up to  $-8.5 \mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . Compensation irradiance was  $6 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Dimiat 4/24),  $10 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Dimiat 4/38), or  $12 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Italian Riesling 3/47). The values were lower or similar to those in *in vivo* plants (Geisler 1963, Stoev and Slavtcheva 1982). Thus the *in vitro* vine plants could utilise low doses of photons better than the *in vivo* grown plants. Cultivar-specific differences in  $R_D$  were also found ( $F_{\text{exp}} > F_{0.01}$ ). Nevertheless, the Dimiat clones had lower  $R_D$  and differed significantly from the Italian Riesling clone, but not between themselves. Non-significant differences were observed among the  $R_D$  values on the different measuring days.

**Ex vitro** plants:  $R_D$  of *ex vitro* plantlets were (Table 2) from  $-28.3$  up to  $-22.3 \mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  in the 2<sup>nd</sup> series, and from  $-40.8$  up to  $-39.0 \mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> series, *i.e.*, 1.9–4.7 times higher than in the *in vitro* plants. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> series, compensation irradiance was  $16 \mu\text{mol}$

$\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Dimiat 4/24),  $20 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Dimiat 4/38), and  $14 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  (Italian Riesling 3/47). In the 3<sup>rd</sup> series, the compensation irradiance was higher ( $20, 22, 22 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively). The values were in the range found with *in vivo* pot plants (Geisler 1963, Stoev and Slavtcheva 1982). The findings correspond to our previous results with *in vitro* grown plants during acclimation (Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997, 1999). Cultivar-specific differences were not found during acclimation. Significant differences existed among  $R_D$  on different measuring days ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 8.46 > F_{0.05}$ ;  $F_{\text{exp}} = 10.66 > F_{0.05}$ ) of the two series.  $R_D$  on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> day differed significantly from that on the 1<sup>st</sup> day.

$R_D$  changed during acclimation. The effect of timing was significant. The relationship was described by linear regression:

$$y = -8.3 - 1.1 x, \text{ with } t_{\text{exp}} = 3.844 > F_{0.05},$$

where  $y$  is  $R_D [\mu\text{g}(\text{CO}_2) \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$  and  $x$  is the time [d] after transfer under *ex vitro* conditions. In fact,  $R_D$  (absolute value) increased during acclimation.

Table 3. Rates of transpiration [ $\text{g}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \text{kg}^{-1}(\text{plant fresh mass}) \text{s}^{-1}$ ] of *in vitro* and *ex vitro* grapevine plants. Means  $\pm$  SE,  $n = 10$ .

Time [d]	Dimiat 4/38	Dimiat 4/24	Italian Riesling 3/47
1 before transfer	$0.283 \pm 0.013$	$0.295 \pm 0.015$	$0.271 \pm 0.015$
17 after transfer	$0.116 \pm 0.004$	$0.154 \pm 0.006$	$0.171 \pm 0.007$
31 after transfer	$0.084 \pm 0.004$	$0.088 \pm 0.004$	$0.121 \pm 0.006$

**Transpiration rate:**

**In vitro** plants:  $E$  of whole plantlets was measured before their transfer to non-sterile conditions (Table 3).  $E$  was between  $0.271$  (Italian Riesling 3/47) and  $0.295$  (Dimiat 4/24)  $\text{g}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \text{kg}^{-1}(\text{plant fresh mass}) \text{s}^{-1}$ : these values were in the range usual for *in vivo* cultured plants (Vignes *et al.* 1974). However,  $E$  for *in vitro* plants was higher than for *ex vitro* plants of grapevine (Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997). Thus the roots must actively replace water loss, absorbing water from the medium and thus maintaining open stomata.

**Ex vitro** plants:  $E$  (Table 3) was 1.6 to 3.4 times lower than in *in vitro* plants. It was from  $0.116$  (Dimiat 4/38) up to  $0.171$  (Italian Riesling 3/47)  $\text{g}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \text{kg}^{-1}(\text{plant fresh mass}) \text{s}^{-1}$  two weeks after transfer from *in vitro* culture, from  $0.084$  (Dimiat 4/38) up to  $0.121$  (Riesling 3/47) four weeks after transfer. Highest  $E$  was found in Riesling 3/47. According to Iacono *et al.* (1992), water control by genotype exists with vine plants grown *in vitro* or *in vivo*: *Vitis riparia* showed higher  $E$  than *Vitis rupestris* in reducing water use efficiency (WUE). In *V. vinifera*, Bravdo *et al.* (1972) observed cultivar-specific differences in physiological behaviour of *in vivo* cultured

grapevine plants. Queen of the Vineyards and Sultanina had significantly higher  $E$  than Muscat Hamburg. The differences in  $E$  among our grapevine cultivars were significant ( $F_{\text{exp}} = 19.18 > F_{0.01}$ ). The result corresponds to our previous findings with *in vitro* grown plants during acclimation (Slavtcheva and Dimitrova 1997).  $E$  measured with *ex vitro* plants was in the range of values established with *in vivo* cultured plants under non-optimal conditions (Vignes *et al.* 1974).

$E$  decrease with time during acclimation could be described by a linear regression:

$$y = 0.207 - 0.0035 x, \text{ with } t_{\text{exp}} = 7.313 > t_{0.05},$$

where  $y$  is  $E [\text{g}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \text{kg}^{-1}(\text{plant fresh mass}) \text{s}^{-1}]$  and  $x$  the time [d] after transfer under *ex vitro* conditions.

These findings correspond with those of Pospíšilová *et al.* (1999) obtained with tobacco plants where  $E$  gradually decreased because stomatal regulation of water loss became more effective and cuticle and epicuticular waxes developed. However, as the fresh mass of our experimental plants increased (from  $0.520$  to  $1.292$  g) during the adaptation period,  $E$  per plant increased with time.

## References

Barov, V., Naidenova, P.: [Statistical Methods for Field and Vegetative Experiments.] – Zemizdat, Sofia 1969. [In Bulg.]

Bravdo, B., Lavee, S., Samish, R.M.: Analysis of water consumption of various grapevine cultivars – *Vitis* **10**: 279-291, 1972.

Dimitrova, V.: Clonal micropropagation of cv. Pamid (*Vitis vinifera* L., ssp. *sativa*) and nutrient medium composition optimization. – *Wein-Wissenschaft* **53**: 168-171, 1998.

Falque, M., Compan, D., Galzy, R.: A method for the study of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in *in vitro* cultured *Vitis rupestris* plantlets. – *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* **27**: 175-181, 1991.

Galzy, R., Compan, D.: Remarks on mixotrophic and autotrophic carbon nutrition of *Vitis* plantlets cultured *in vitro*. – *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* **31**: 239-244, 1992.

Galzy, R., Haffner, V., Compan, D.: Influence of three factors on the growth and nutrition of grapevine microcuttings. – *J. exp. Bot.* **41**: 295-301, 1990.

Geisler, G.: Art- und sortenspezifische CO<sub>2</sub>-Assimilationsraten von Reben unter Berücksichtigung wechselnder Beleuchtungsstärken. – *Mitt. Klosterneuburg A* **13**: 301-305, 1963.

Genoud, C., Coudret, A., Amalric, C., Sallanon, H.: Effects of micropropagation conditions of rose shootlets on chlorophyll fluorescence. – *Photosynthetica* **36**: 243-251, 1999.

Gribaudo, I., Fronda, A.: [Acclimation of micropropagated fruit plants.] – *Riv. Frutt.* **55**: 75-80, 1993. [In Ital.]

Iacono, F., De Micheli, L., Campostrini, F., Falcetti, M., Martinelli, L.: Comparison between photosynthesis and transpiration of *in vitro* cultured plantlets and *in vivo* cultured plants of genus *Vitis*. Implications of transplanting. – In: Gay, G., Gribaudo, I., Novello, V., Schubert, A. (ed.): *Proc. 4<sup>th</sup> Int. Symp. Grapevine Physiol.* Pp. 507-511. Giovanni Dalmasso Found., Turin 1993.

Lakso, A.N., Reisch, B.I., Mortensen, J., Roberts, M.H.: Carbon dioxide enrichment for stimulation of growth of *in vitro*-propagated grapevines after transfer from culture. – *J. amer. Soc. hort. Sci.* **111**: 634-638, 1986.

Lee, N., Wetzstein, H.Y., Sommer, H.E.: Effects of quantum lux density on photosynthesis and chloroplast ultrastructure in tissue-cultured plantlets and seedlings of *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. towards improved acclimatization and field survival. – *Plant Physiol.* **78**: 637-641, 1985.

Lewandowski, V.T.: Rooting and acclimatization of micropropagated *Vitis labruska* "Dalaware". – *Hort. Sci.* **26**: 586-589, 1991.

Lima da Silva, A., Hariscain, P., Ollat, N., Doazan, J.P.: Estimation de la capacité photoautotrophique de vitroplants de porte-greffe de vigne (var. Gravesac): mise au point d'un système de mesure de la photosynthèse nette de vitroplants. – *Vitis* **35**: 73-78, 1996.

Pospíšilová, J., Čatský, J., Šesták, Z.: Photosynthesis in plants cultivated *in vitro*. – In: Pessarakli, M. (ed.): *Handbook of Photosynthesis*. Pp. 525-540. M. Dekker, New York – Basel – Hong Kong 1997.

Pospíšilová, J., Tichá, I., Kadlec, P., Haisel, D., Plzáková, S.: Acclimatization of micropropagated plants to *ex vitro* conditions. – *Biol. Plant.* **42**: 481-497, 1999.

Schoch, P.-G., Lefèvre, B., Teisson, C., Ganry, J.: Photosynthèse et respiration de bananier *in vitro*. – *Photosynthetica* **23**: 113-118, 1989.

Slavtcheva, T., Dimitrova, V.: Gas exchange with *in vitro* cultured vine plants during their acclimatization. – *Riv. Vitic. Enol.* **50**: 3-9, 1997.

Slavtcheva, T., Dimitrova, V.: Rates of net photosynthesis and dark respiration of *in vitro* vine plants during acclimatization. – *Vitis* **38**: 183-184, 1999.

Solárová, J., Pospíšilová, J., Čatský, J., Šanruček, J.: Photosynthesis and growth of tobacco plantlets in dependence on carbon supply. – *Photosynthetica* **23**: 629-637, 1989.

Stoev, K., Slavtcheva, T.: La photosynthèse nette chez la Vigne (*V. vinifera* L.) et les facteurs écologiques. – *Connais. Vigne Vin* **16**: 171-185, 1982.

Vignes, D., Monange, Y., Carles, J.: Morphologie foliaire et échanges gazeux chez la Vigne vierge. – *Compt. rend. Acad. Sci. Paris D* **278**: 1365-1368, 1974.